



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,549	02/23/2004	Aiden Flanagan	01-162US2	7760
27774 7590 08/16/2007 MAYER & WILLIAMS PC 251 NORTH AVENUE WEST 2ND FLOOR WESTFIELD, NJ 07090				
EXAMINER ABOAGYE, MICHAEL				
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1725				
MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE 08/16/2007 PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/784,549	Applicant(s) FLANAGAN, AIDEN	
	Examiner Michael Aboagye	Art Unit 1725	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 June 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 48-69 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 48-69 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02/23/2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 48-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shapovalov et al. (US Patent No. 6,563,080) in view of Freedenburg et al. (US Patent No. 5,620,618) and Weerasinghe et al. (GB 2244851).

Shapovalov et al. teaches a method of manufacturing a medical device from a work-piece, comprising: generating a beam of radiation from a radiation source, and directing the radiation beam onto the workpiece so that the radiation beam cuts a desired pattern in the workpiece (column 1, lines 36- 43; and column 2, lines 11-24); wherein the workpiece is a tubular "247" (column 2, lines 50-53 and figure 5); redirecting the radiation beam so that it impinges on the circumference of the tubular workpiece (Figure 5); positioning at least one optical element along an optical path between the radiation source and the workpiece (figure 5: (optical elements 240, 220, 215, 214, and 205); wherein the workpiece comprises a biocompatible material, said material being stainless steel; wherein the medical device is a stem (column 1, lines 28-35); wherein the medical device is a catheter (column 1, lines 15-18); wherein the tubular workpiece

Art Unit: 1725

is translated along its longitudinal axis during the step of directing the radiation beam; wherein the tubular workpiece is rotated about its longitudinal axis during the step of directing the radiation beam; wherein the tubular workpiece is rotated about its longitudinal axis during the step of directing the radiation beam (column 4, lines 27-33; column 5, lines 39-47 and figure 5); wherein the radiation beam is a laser beam; wherein the laser beam is a pulsed laser beam (column 5, lines 23-38, and figure 3d); wherein each subsequent scan over the common path removes additional material from the workpiece; wherein the prescribed pattern defines an opening in the tubular workpiece, (note the process of cutting, polishing, engraving and the like removes material and also creates a hole in the stent),(column 2, lines 50-53, and column 6, lines 9-11).

Shapovalov et al. does not expressly teach scanning the beam with a galvanometer comprising a comprising two mirrors pivoted about two orthogonally axis and F-theta lens for generating a flat focal plane before impinging the workpiece.

However, Freedenburg et al. teaches an apparatus and a method of laser machining. The method practiced with the apparatus having a galvanometer comprising two mirrors pivoted about two orthogonally axis and disposed along the optical path of the laser beam to permit bidirectional scanning of the beam onto the workpiece for cutting, machining or processing the workpiece without interruption (column 13, lines 59-67); said scanner "59" having moving mirrored surface 50, 50' for redirecting a laser beam "125", at an angle of 90 °C in the X-Y plane ("50", figure 7, column 10, lines 12-15, and figures 5A, 5B); Freedenburg et al. also teaches disposing along the optical

Art Unit: 1725

path of the laser beam an F-theta lens (flat field telecentric lens) for and also directing the beam such that the center of focus is planar and perpendicular (i.e. 90 °C) to the target at all points along the scan field (column 3, lines 56-67). Note the F-theta lens of Freedenburg et al. includes the conical and elliptical mirrors recited in claims 32 and 33. Freedenburg et al. also teaches the laser beam over a common path a plurality of times by redirecting from a first end of the workpieces to a second end and then retracting back to the first end (Freedenburg et al., column 13, lines 59-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have disposed a galvanometer and an F-theta lens along the optical path of the laser beam in the method of Shapovalov et al. as taught by Freedenburg et al. in order to permit scanning the laser over a common path a plurality of times along the circumference of the workpiece for cutting, machining or processing the workpiece without interruption (Freedenburg et al., column 13, lines 59-67), and also to obtain a beam planar and perpendicular (i.e. 90 °C) to the target at all points along the scan field (Freedenburg et al. (column 3, lines 56-67) thereby permitting the production and machining of micro- components at high output and low defect rates (Freedenburg et al., column 4, lines 5-9).

Shapovalov et al. and Freedenburg et al. do not expressly teach a conical mirror in the optical path.

Weerasinghe et al. teaches a method of laser cutting, scribing or drilling having a conical mirror disposed in the optical path for producing circular beam which produces a non-directional beam which is equally polarized in all directions and therefore enhances

Art Unit: 1725

the laser cutting or the drilling speed, produces perfect circular drill holes in a workpieces compared to distorted shaped produced by plane polarized beams. Said conical mirror is also less sensitive to misalignment since the mode axis is not displaced (Weerasinghe et al. , abstract , page 1 and figures 1 and 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to use a conical mirror in the combined teaching of Shapovalov et al. and Freedenburg et al. as taught by Weerasinghe et al. to improve certain qualities of the laser beam e.g. beam speed, polarization and alignment of mode axis and thereby improving the quality of the laser processing operation (Weerasinghe et al. page 1).

Response to Arguments

3. The examiner acknowledges the applicants' amendment received by USPTO on June 28, 2007. Claims 1-47 have been cancelled, and newly added claims 48-69 are currently under consideration in this application remain under consideration in the application.


4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 48-69 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.


Art Unit: 1725

75. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Aboagye whose telephone number is 571-272-8165. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jonathan Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


JONATHAN JOHNSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER


Michael Aboagye
Assistant Examiner
Art Unit 1725

AM
AM

08/09/2007